Religion appeared as a common thread in many of the social controversies and conflicts in Austria during the ‘noughties’. These conflicts were discussed in the public sphere but did not play the role they had in the constitution of modern states, as has been shown more generally by Habermas and more recent scholars.¹ A series of questions emerged over this period concerning, for example, the extent to which Catholicism was still part of Austrian identity, or whether the effects of migration and globalization had weakened this sense of religious affiliation.

Religious topics have cropped up throughout Europe, with, for example, the ban on minarets in Switzerland, the prohibition of burqas and niqabs in France and Belgium, or the European Court’s verdict on displaying the cross in the classroom in Italy. These subjects have readily been taken up in Austria and formed the focal points of public and media discussion, although Austria itself has not yet taken an official stance. Similarly, Austria has not been spared from the scandals concerning child abuse in the Catholic church and in private and public institutions. In other words, general European trends have not passed Austria by.

Although these topics have been openly discussed, a closer study of the debates of the noughties shows that these phenomena are symptoms of a deeper unease with religion below the surface of Austrian society. Indeed, this unease has been a constant in the relations between the Austrian state and the Jewish community, as the presence of Judaism and Jewish culture in the Austrian public sphere is a continuous reminder of past guilt. At the end of the noughties, religion appears to serve as a theatrical backdrop to political events of no relevance whatever to matters of faith. A case in point was the prominent extreme rightist politician Jörg Haider’s death in a car accident in 2008. The aftermath of the accident, the funeral and remembrance ceremonies became something of a pseudo-religious cult with nation-wide mourning, thousands of candles and religious memorial services.² The conspiracy theories surrounding
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Haider’s death even mythologized him as a kind of martyr figure. A similar theatrical gesture was made by Haider’s successor as leader of the Freedom Party, Heinz-Christian Strache, during an election rally in Styria in 2009 when he held up a crucifix to symbolize his championship of Christian values against Muslim ones and as a reminder of Austria’s historical role as a bulwark against Turkish/Islamic incursions in the seventeenth century.

After reviewing the status quo at the beginning of the noughties and the historical developments up to then, this paper will discuss the issue of controversies and conflicts concerning the different religions in the noughties. A final section will deal with the legacy of the noughties, present a possible outlook on the future and offer conclusions as to the position of religion in society today.

I

For centuries, pre-republican Austria was regarded as the bulwark of the Counter-Reformation and the political and social doctrines advocated by the Catholic church. The Habsburgs, as ruling dynasty, also had close personal and symbolic ties to the Catholic church. But the Austro-Hungarian Monarchy was a multi-ethnic state with diverse religions, with which arrangements had to be found. The first step in this direction was the Tolerance Patent of 1781, which recognized the Protestant churches and the Greek Orthodox church. A tolerance patent for the Jews followed a year later. Legal recognition of religious communities goes back to a Constitutional Law of 21 December 1867, granting recognized churches and religious communities certain basic rights and privileges. However, how such a recognition was to be obtained was only laid down in the Recognition Act of 1874, which stipulated that every religious community was to be recognized in a law of its own. The first community to be recognized according to this law was the Old Catholic church. In 1890, the so-called ‘Israelite Act’ (Israelitengesetz) put relations between the state and the Jewish communities on a legal footing. It was not until the occupation of Bosnia-Herzegovina in 1878 and its annexation in 1908 that Austria-Hungary acquired a sizeable Muslim population (600,000 in Bosnia as against 1281 in the core territories of the Monarchy, including 889 in Vienna alone). This was then reflected in the ‘Islam Act’ of 1912, recognizing Muslims according to the Hanafi rite. Due to its association with Bosnia-Herzegovina and the Habsburg past, as a religion, Islam was long regarded positively, even nostalgically in Austria.

Following the disintegration of the Monarchy and the collapse of the dynasty in November 1918, a tight partnership developed between the Catholic church and its only remaining political patron, the Christian Social Party. This bond was cemented when Prelate Ignaz Seipel became official party leader after 1920. As Federal Chancellor (1922–1924 and again 1926–1929), Seipel sought to
assert Catholic cultural values and social ideals in society in opposition to the
anticlerical stance of his opponents, the Social Democrats and Communists,
who perceived a diabolical union between the church and the state. This is
part of the great ‘divide’ between the Right and the Left that characterized
the politics of the First Republic. The Christian Social Party was supported
by a multi-tiered system of associations and organizations, many of which
were associated with the Catholic church. Indeed, in 1934 the headquarters of
ninety-three Catholic societies or associations were located in Vienna alone and
provided the party with officials and candidates, many of whom were priests.3
The partnership between the church and the Christian Social Party was vividly
reflected in the Austrian Catholic Congress (Katholikentag) of September
1933, at which the incumbent chancellor, Engelbert Dollfuß, declared his
intention to create an authoritarian corporate state (Ständestaat), inspired by
the Italian fascist model. The Austrofascist corporate regime regarded itself
as a Catholic-German state, based on Catholic social teachings, in particular
the encyclicals Rerum Novarum (1891) and Quadragesimo anno (1931), and
defending a Catholic West, as, for example, against the Turks in 1683 (an idea
frequently reiterated during the noughties, as will be seen). Consequently, a
new concordat was concluded between Austria and the Holy See in 1933. The
union of Catholicism and state was complete, so much so that the Protestant
minority feared a new Counter-Reformation due to the harassment they were
subjected to.4 For their part, as Friedrich Heer states, the Austrian Protestants
tended to view themselves as ethnic Germans and to advocate Austria’s union
with Germany.5
The corporate state was ended very abruptly by the invasion by German
troops in March 1938. The Anschluss was welcomed by the leaders of both the
Catholic and Protestant churches, presumably with hopes of restoring cohesion
in society and reunifying and strengthening the position of German-speaking
peoples in Europe. The persecution of both churches began immediately,
although Cardinal Innitzer, the primate of the Catholic church in Austria, had
had a recommendation to vote for the Anschluss and Hitler in the plebiscite of
10 April 1938 read from the pulpit.6 Post-war relations between the state and
the two Christian religions were deeply marked by the conflicts of the First
Republic.

Today, recognition as a state religion guarantees certain basic rights: the right
to exercise one’s religion in public, the right to exclusivity (protection of names
with a religious background, claim to exclusive religious care by members of
the same confession), autonomous organization and administration of internal
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affairs, protection of institutions, foundations and funds against secularization, the right to set up confessional private schools, and the right to teach religious lessons at public schools. Currently, fifteen religious communities are recognized in Austria. All these religious communities enjoy heightened protection against penal offences, and contempt for religious teachings or disturbing religious observation is punishable by law. However, as will be elaborated below, some complex issues have emerged, as a number of Muslim and Jewish religious communities do not feel represented by the officially recognized organizations. The Islamische Glaubensgemeinschaft in Österreich [the Islamic Community in Austria, IGGiÖ] was awarded the status of a public body in 1979 and entrusted with the organization of Islamic religious teaching three years later. It is the only officially recognized Islamic organization in Austria and is largely dominated by Sunnites, but purports also to represent Shiites. The Alevi in Austria regard themselves as Muslims, but are not even acknowledged as such by the official representative body, so they have applied for recognition as a separate religious community. Similarly, a number of Jewish communities do not feel represented by the official Kultusgemeinde, including the orthodox group Chabad-Lubavitch and the liberal community Or Chadasch.

On 10 January 1998, the Recognition Act was amended to the Religious Communities Act, stipulating as the necessary conditions for recognition the existence of the religious association for at least twenty years in Austria and for at least ten years as a registered religious community and a minimum number of two adherents per thousand members of the Austrian population. In addition to the officially recognized religions, the concept of registered religious communities was also introduced in 1997. These enjoy a slightly different legal status. Whereas they are legal entities of their own, they do not possess the same privileges as recognized religious communities. Two such groups are the Bahai religious community (760 members) and the Federation of Evangelical Communities in Austria (2108 members). Religious communities not fulfilling the conditions for recognized religions or registered religious communities can be constituted as societies pursuant to the law of association.

Associations are not covered by the Recognition Act. This is the case with confessional non-governmental organizations, which play a large and very visible role in civil society, specifically in the provision of social services. Today, as in the noughties, the largest Roman Catholic NGO is the Caritas with activities in child protection, care for the elderly and providing facilities for homeless people. Its Protestant counterpart is the Diakonie, a smaller organization known for services for the disabled and for free medical care provision through a body called Amber Med. Both Christian relief organisations are largely funded by donations. During the noughties, the heads of both these

Some sources, e.g. Religionen in Österreich (Bundeskanzleramt Österreich, http://tinyurl.com/rel-austria), list only fourteen recognized religions, discounting the Herrnhuter Brüdergemeinde that no longer has any members.
charities were often very vociferous in their criticism of everyday politics when issues such as migration, social care, family policies, asylum and deportation, or even budget allocation, were at the forefront of public discourse. In general, the Protestant churches tend to evince more liberal and progressive social policy stances than their Catholic counterparts, as could be seen, for instance, in the election campaign by the former Protestant Bishop Gertraud Knoll in 1998 and her subsequent political career in the Green and Social Democratic parties.

For its part, the Jewish community in Vienna runs the Sanatorium Maimonides-Zentrum with services for older people, nursing wards, day-care centres and, on the same campus, kindergarten, school and sports facilities, as well as the psycho-social centre ESRA [Hebrew for ‘help], originally set up to assist Holocaust victims. The Islamic community has run its own social services (with a special emphasis of advocating and assisting Muslim patients in the public health system) since 2000. In the field of education, the religious communities are responsible for the training and appointment of religious teachers, but the teachers are paid by the state. In the noughties, a discussion emerged as to whether religious symbols, in this context the crucifix, should be displayed in the classroom. The present legal situation is that in schools where there is mandatory religious instruction and the majority of the class is Christian, a crucifix is to be placed in the classroom (crucifixes can also be found in courtrooms, but up to now they have not been a bone of contention).

As regards Judaism, its rare visibility in the public sphere (usually in the form of kippas) does not attract much attention. There has been great respect for any expression of the Jewish religion for historical reasons: on the one hand, the long tradition of a Jewish community in Vienna (recognized in 1890, as mentioned above) and also a sense of guilt due to the active participation of Austrians in the expulsion and murder of the Jews following the Anschluss. Jewish matters are handled with considerable sensitivity, self-consciousness and sometimes embarrassment, as the image of the country might suffer from perceived anti-Semitism. Nonetheless, latent anti-Semitism in Austria should not be underrated. Jörg Haider, for example, played on anti-Semitic sentiments in Austria when he asked the rhetorical question as to how someone with the first name of ‘Ariel’ (referring to Ariel Muzicant, President of the Israelitische Kultusgemeinde) could have so much ‘muck in his past’. Another source of such embarrassment was the Waldheim affair in the mid-1980s, when there were veiled allusions in the press to the ‘East Coast’ of the USA, meaning de facto worldwide Jewish financial hegemony. However, the damage that

---

could be contained in the case of the Waldheim affair was to reappear with a vengeance with both the Jews and the Muslims in the noughties.

Islam did not offer much of a target for antagonism before the noughties and the events of 9/11. The situation changed as Islam came to be perceived as almost synonymous with the phenomenon of worldwide terrorism and because Muslims (particularly from Turkey) represented the majority immigrant group. Between 1971, when the first figures were recorded, and the last census in 2001, the Muslim population of Austria grew from 22,267 (or 0.3% of the total population) to 338,988 (4.2%), i.e. over fifteen times the original figure within thirty years. The increase is largely due to new births, as Muslim women tend to have one child more on average than other Austrian women, but also to family reunification. The growth in the Muslim population started to become visible in the 2000s, particularly in the increase of head scarves to be seen in the public sphere and the ghettoization of certain districts of Vienna. The Vienna Institute of Demography of the Austrian Academy of Sciences has drawn up different scenarios for the future size of religious communities in Austria. For the year 2051, a Muslim percentage of 14% to 18% has been projected, whereas that of Roman Catholics would drop from 75% in 2001 to under 50% and that of persons without religious affiliation would rise to up to 34%. This has led right-wing circles to talk of the gradual Islamization of Austria and the corrosion of Austrian cultural values.

II

It would be too much to assert that controversies surrounding religion only emerged in the noughties, but even if more distance might be required before judging the decade fully, it seems characteristic of the period that many religions — not only the quasi-hegemonic Catholic church — were at the core of heated discussions, while at the same time Catholicism was less present in the public space. Corpus Christi processions and public first communions, which were still very frequent twenty years ago, have become less visible, even in the countryside, where developments tend to be slower.

The Viennese underground and shopping malls might be considered natural extensions of the public sphere, marked in the noughties by the progressive proliferation of free newspapers distributed in stands at almost all traffic junctions. In this environment, the representatives of the Jehovah’s Witnesses constitute fixed pillars in the daily lives of all users of the public transportation system. They stand in all the main halls or important underground stations, selling their journal, Watch Tower, published in as many languages as those spoken in Vienna. Regularly, cases have been brought against Jehovah’s

Witnesses, sometimes following the publication of books or articles in the newspapers. In 2006, for example, many dailies reported that parents of this denomination had allowed their son to bleed to death because their doctrine explicitly forbids blood transfusion.\(^\text{13}\) Despite their poor public image, after long proceedings before different national courts, commencing as early as 1978, the European Court of Human Rights condemned the Austrian State on 31 July 2008 for not recognizing Jehovah’s Witnesses as a religious community. They therefore became the fifteenth recognized religion on 7 May 2009. As was stated in the verdict, Jehovah’s Witnesses fulfilled the conditions of the Religious Communities Act mentioned above. They narrowly managed to reach the strict requirement of two members per thousand of the population (as of March 2009, this newly recognized religion numbered 23,000 adherents).\(^\text{14}\)

Looking back over the noughties, the main controversies linked to religion definitely concerned the Catholic church, with a disproportionate number of cases relating to child abuse and close affiliations to ultra-conservative splinter groups forming the main points of debate. The Austrian Catholic church had already made international headlines in the mid-1990s with the case of the primate of the Austrian church, Hans Hermann Groër.\(^\text{15}\) In 1995, this seventy-five-year-old Cardinal had been accused of sexual abuse committed twenty years earlier. In a typically ‘diplomatic’ reaction, the Vatican refused to initiate an investigation and appointed Bishop Christoph Schönborn as Archbishop co-adjudicator, intending to replace Groër in the following months. Schönborn initially stayed loyal to Groër, comparing, in a controversial statement, the attacks on Groër to those made by the Nazis on clerics during the NS period. Within a month, the Groër affair caused 5500 Austrians to leave the Church and eventually gave rise to a civic movement that called itself Wir sind Kirche [We are Church].\(^\text{16}\) This movement demanded, among other things, the relinquishment of the requirement of celibacy for the priesthood and a more progressive attitude towards sexuality, including homosexuality. In July 1995, more than 500,000 supporters signed a petition in favour of this movement. A federation entitled ‘International Movement We are Church’ (IMWAC) was established in 1996 and enabled expansions into Germany and a few other countries.\(^\text{17}\) In 2002, the organization appointed seven women as priests and, the following year, a woman became the first female bishop (though not, of course, acknowledged by the official church). The protest movement played a significant role in the case surrounding the ultra-conservative Bishop Kurt
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Krenn, who ran the priest’s seminary in St. Pölten, Lower Austria. In his seminary, police allegedly found up to 40,000 files relating to child pornography on the computer of one of the students, an affair that Krenn dismissed as a ‘Bubendummheit’ [childish prank].

Besides these well-known cases, the noughties were also marked by the appointment of very conservative clerics. When Pope John Paul II urged Krenn to retire, it was Klaus Küng from the secretive and traditionalist Opus Dei movement who was appointed his successor as Bishop of St. Pölten. In 2006, he defended corporal punishment in a journal interview. Whereas Opus Dei was rather discrete at the end of the twentieth century, it heightened its profile in the noughties, even if critical media like *Falter* or *Der Standard* continued to equate the movement with a sect.

These controversies centring on the Catholic church differed from those concerning the relationship with Judaism. At least two important issues dominated the noughties: the restitution of property expropriated during the Nazi period, and the restoration and maintenance of Jewish cemeteries. When the extreme right was in coalition government with the conservative party (2000–2006), the Minister of Education and Culture, Elisabeth Gehrre, had to deal with the restitution of world-famous paintings. The most emblematic case was probably the portrait *Adele Bloch-Bauer I*, painted by Gustav Klimt in 1907. The affair followed the coming into effect of the Federal *Kunstrückgabegesetz* [Art Restitution Act], which was passed in December 1998, after a painting by Egon Schiele belonging to the Leopold Collection had been confiscated in New York. The law empowers public museums to return works of art which were looted or purchased for ridiculous prices from Jews fleeing the Third Reich, and then sold to art merchants. *Adele*, as the Austrians nickname the painting, appeared on the front pages of newspapers in 2000, when a Klimt retrospective was held in the Belvedere. When the painting was restored to its heirs in 2006, Elisabeth Gehrre, instead of rejoicing that justice had finally been done, lamented: ‘Es ist natürlich sehr schade um die Bilder’ [it is, of course, a great pity about the pictures]. The President of the *Israelitische Kultusgemeinde* (IKG), Ariel Muzicant, followed all these cases closely and it was in the noughties that the IKG started to become a nuisance to the Austrian authorities, organizing protests, for example, against the Leopold Museum. As it is an institution run by a foundation (the Leopoldstiftung), and not a federal museum, the Restitution Act did not apply here and a spectacular demonstration took place. To mark simultaneously the seventieth anniversary of the *Kristallnacht*, members of the
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IKG obstructed access to the Leopold Museum for a short time on 9 November 2008 with barrier tapes labelled ‘Art Crime Scene’.

It is interesting to note that the central issue of contention between the Austrian state and the Jewish community concerns the restitution of property which, in itself, has nothing to do with religion. In fact, a general settlement, the ‘Washington Agreement’, was signed between the Austrian state, the US authorities and the IKG in January 2001. The goal was to close the remaining gaps in the Restitution Act and a core part of this agreement was the establishment of a General Settlement Fund, endowed with Austrian contributions totalling 210 million US dollars. The Jewish cemeteries, which are of paramount importance for practising Jews, were supposed to be restored, but it took until 2010 for a solution to be found (the federal state and the provinces kept passing the buck to and fro). When employees of the US Embassy decided in July 2007 to renovate the Währing Cemetery in Vienna, together with representatives of the Green Party, the apathy of the Austrian government came under harsh criticism. Lack of tact and diplomacy was probably a characteristic of this time, when the Austrian authorities started to support commemorations and events relating to bygone Jewish culture, rather than its lively contemporary manifestations (Jewish theatre, Jewish film festivals etc.).

For the Austrian population, the most visible changes concerning religion in the noughties probably related to Islam. With the rapidly growing Muslim population in the noughties, many tensions have appeared in Austrian society, and the ultra-rightist party, the Freedom Party of Austria (FPÖ), has seized these opportunities to gain popularity, using, for instance, slogans like ‘Daham statt Islam’ [Home, not Islam] in their campaign for the 2006 parliamentary elections. Indignation was caused in Vienna in 2005 by Feridun Zaimoğlu’s installation entitled Kanak Attack. Die dritte Türkenbelagerung [The Third Siege of the Turks] at the Museumsquartier. The artist covered the façade of the Kunsthalle with Turkish flags, to echo the 1683 Siege of Vienna. Many surveys have also regularly been published in the media on the alleged difficulty of reconciling Islam and traditional Austrian society. A study commissioned by the Interior Ministry in 2006 stated, for instance, that about forty per cent of the Austrian population had a negative attitude towards the Muslim community, probably as a consequence of an ongoing hate discourse in dailies such as the Kronen Zeitung. Forced marriages, honour crimes or the issue of head scarves, niqabs and burqas are regularly reported and heatedly discussed, for instance when Turkey’s possible accession to the EU is mentioned. Moreover, Islamic
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religious teachers are also attacked, and these polemics often rely on survey findings claiming, for instance, that these teachers show more allegiance to the Koran than to the Austrian constitution. One specific case making the headlines concerned Adnan Ibrahim. The well-known imam from Palestine has frequently been suspected of anti-Semitic agitation in the past. In a Friday sermon towards the end of the Gaza War, Ibrahim praised the Hamas and termed Israel a ‘beast’. Significantly, Ibrahim has been training Islamic religion teachers at the Islamic Academy for years and could not be suspended by the IGiÖ because the imam was only responsible to the community in his mosque which had elected him.

A further problem was posed by the fact that until 2003 there was only one school inspector for Islamic religion teachers, the President of the IGiÖ, Anas Shakféh. It was only after he had admitted that he had no time to inspect the 2700 locations where Islamic religion was taught that additional inspectors were hired. Until that juncture, Islamic religion teachers had not been supervised for twenty years. In 2009, after publishing an article in the Standard harshly criticizing the IGiÖ and its allegedly unprofessional, backward-looking and communitarian organization of Islamic religion teaching, Aly El Ghoubashy was summarily dismissed from his teaching post by Anas Shakféh within twenty-four hours. To improve the situation, a private educational institution was founded in Liesing, a suburb of Vienna, two years later. It trains Islamic religion teachers in a more academic, professional and systematic way and is run by a foundation bearing Anas Shakféh’s name. Shakféh has also since been awarded the Golden Cross of Merit of the City of Vienna. Despite this, Shakféh remains a controversial figure. Following, for example, the Gaza flotilla raid in June 2010, a demonstration supported by the IGiÖ took place in Vienna to protest against the Israeli operation. Banners were waved equating the Star of David to the swastika and reading ‘Hitler wake up’. Muzicant and leading figures in the Jewish community condemned this demonstration, which, for Shafkeh, was a legitimate expression of criticism of Israeli policy.
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This poisonous atmosphere spread over the noughties, and the situation seemed to escalate at the end of the decade. This concerns particularly the relationships with Islam, but also the two other monotheistic religions. In 2010, this development has led to a legacy which is difficult to evaluate, as we cannot yet stand back to assess the period with sufficient detachment.

III

Even if the aftermath of 9/11 in Austria gave rise to similar sentiments against anyone and anything Islamic, as it had done in other European countries, many observers notice subtle differences. Asked about developments concerning Islam in Austria during the noughties, Tarafa Baghajati, the head of the 'Initiative of Austrian Muslims' (a kind of unofficial sub-section of the IGGiÖ), explained that a general paradigm shift had occurred in the noughties in the discourse about migration and migrants.31 Whereas the discussion used to centre on migrants from many different countries of origin (and especially on those from South-Eastern Europe and Turkey), it now relates primarily to migrants of Muslim origin and their children and grandchildren, people who often hold Austrian citizenship. In his view, whereas anti-Semitism is taboo, a sense of community has been formed among some Austrians, using ignorance and fear of or even hatred towards Muslims as its rallying cry. Aversion towards migrants as a whole has given way to religious hostility and fanaticism, the latter based not on religious feelings, but on prejudices and stereotypes. In a collection on the topics of integration, racism and the world economic crisis, Baghajati opens by saying:


[The debate about ‘foreigners’ is increasingly concentrating on the issues of 'Islam and Muslims'. Awareness of democracy, values such as freedom of speech and opinion or allegiance to the secular constitutional state are at stake. The growing visibility of Muslims poses questions extending from predictions about future demographic trends and the building of mosques to neighbourly co-existence.]

31 Unpublished interview with Tarafa Baghajati by Jérôme Segal, 26 November 2010.
Baghajati goes on to press for a distinction to be made between Islamophobia and hostility towards Islam (Islamfeindlichkeit). The former consists of a diffuse set of irrational sensations and prejudices, whereas the latter represents a response to political agitation and is steered by (often extreme right-wing) populists. People suffering from e.g. arachnophobia cannot be held responsible, they are victims of their condition, whereas racists are themselves responsible for the views they hold. Islamophobia is a concept frequently used in academic discourse as evidenced in a book recently edited by John Bunzl and Farid Hafez. Hafez deals with the complex relationship between the FPÖ and Islam. In a 2008 position paper of the party, Islam is initially viewed surprisingly positively: as long as ethnic groups are not mingled, the alleged superiority of Western culture to foreign ones is not challenged and these cultures are restricted to foreign countries. However, as soon as the discussion moves to the issue of Islamic fundamentalism and the alleged ‘Islamization of Europe’, the tone becomes harsher. Fundamentalism and Islam as a religion are thrown together into the same pot:

Der islamische Fundamentalismus stellt für die europäischen Gesellschaften wegen der muslimischen Massenzuwanderung eine immer größer werdende Bedrohung dar. [...] Es muss geklärt werden, in welcher Weise muslimische Traditionen mit dem europäischen Demokratie- und Grundrechtsverständnis vereinbar sind. Es ist unerläßlich — von den in Österreich lebenden Moslems Stellungnahmen zum religiösen motivierten Extremismus und Terrorismus zu verlangen.

[Due to mass Muslim immigration, Islamic fundamentalism constitutes a growing threat to European societies. [...] It must be clarified to what extent Muslim traditions are compatible with the European interpretation of democracy and fundamental rights. It is essential to demand statements on the subject of religiously motivated extremism and terrorism from the Muslims living in Austria].

Whereas representatives of the FPÖ insist on the need for unambiguous statements by the Muslim community, they never question the way Austrians represent Muslims. One example of how stereotypes are passed on to the next generation is the presentation of Muslims in Austrian schoolbooks. Studies demonstrate that here Islam is often portrayed as a bellicose religion, the spread of which can be attributed to military conquest, whereas Christianity is largely shown as a peaceful one.

The image problem affecting the Catholic church has resulted in the mass exodus of its members since at least the 1960s. According to the Concordat,
registered members of the Catholic church must pay an annual church tax, which is collected by the church itself (as opposed to the practice in Germany). This church tax was introduced during the Third Reich as a means to induce believers to leave the church, but it has not been abolished since. Still, it is taken as an excuse by many people of otherwise religious conviction to leave the church for financial reasons. The scandals involving the church in the noughties, particularly the incidences of child abuse, have reinforced and increased this trend. In turn, the church has introduced a marketing strategy of cancelling outstanding tax debts in order to contain the damage (a so-called Neustart-Aktion offers complete debt cancellation in return for automatic debit transfer).

As regards the major issue of child abuse, affecting most institutions involved with child care in Austria, the church has instituted an investigation committee to inquire into individual cases of child abuse and set up a compensation fund. Harsh criticism has been levelled against the committee because its members were appointed by the church and not by institutions outside the church. The criticism has even gone so far as to claim that former victims are being judged by their former offenders (or by the latters’ colleagues).

A particularly repugnant scandal during the noughties concerned the notorious ‘Fritzl affair’ (in the spring of 2008), involving a man’s twenty-four-year incestuous relationship with his daughter. The case attracted widespread international attention and scorn was heaped on Austria by tabloids in particular. This induced the chancellor of the time, Alfred Gusenbauer, to remark during his traditional May Day speech that Austria would not be held hostage by an individual offender and would not allow its youth to be tainted with the stigma of a ‘new original sin’. Although, traditionally, the first of May is a left-wing event and frequently used to announce progressive social policies, the chancellor could not help availing himself subconsciously of a Catholic diction simply because his background was a Catholic one. The topic addressed, namely the Fritzl affair, had nothing whatsoever to do with religion. This implies that Christian thinking may underpin the political analysis of some decision-makers of whom this would not otherwise be expected. Even in the First Republic, it is striking how often politicians of both sides worded their political messages in terms of theological diction. Leading Socialist figures such as Otto Bauer or Karl Renner frequently refer to Socialism as the ‘Holy Cause’ (Heilige Sache) or ‘Salvation’ (Heil). Conceivably, the role played by Austrians during the Holocaust explains the attitude of almost all Austrian politicians towards the Jewish community. After decades of stressing Austria’s position as a victim, it is now generally accepted that Austria produced more than its proportion of perpetrators (Austrians constituted 8% of the population of the Reich, 14% of the SS, 40% 36 Alfred Gusenbauer, 1 May speech, as quoted in n.a., ‘Gusenbauer und die Erbsünde’, Profil, 3 May 2008, p. 24.

37 Friedrich Heer, Der Kampf um die österreichische Identität, chapter 9, here p. 325 and p. 343.
of the concentration camp personnel and 70% of the logistical staff responsible for the execution of the ‘Final Solution’ under Eichmann).\(^{38}\) So as to atone for this Austrian ‘original sin’, Austrian politicians, with the exception of the extreme right, seem to feel obliged to sponsor and support anything related to Jewishness, but especially events that are regarded as uncontroversial and anything but subversive. In journals such as *David: Jüdische Kulturzeitschrift*, the *Illustrierte Neue Welt* and, of course, *Die Gemeinde* (the official gazette of the IKG), the reader will find numerous large, paid advertisements from the political parties and prominent individual politicians expressing best wishes on almost all Jewish feast days (Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur, Hanukkah and Pesach) or anniversaries (like the sixtieth anniversary of Israel or the hundredth jubilee of Tel Aviv). In view of the ongoing conflicts concerning restitution, the Austrian state seems to feel the need to be seen to be appeasing the Jewish community.

A major role in identifying, shaping and/or manipulating public opinion on religious and similar issues as well as politics in general is played by the print media, the *Kronen Zeitung* in particular. This tabloid does not thematize the indirect support given to Jewish culture, rather it sticks to the restitution or cemeteries issues when dealing with the Jewish presence in Austria. Islam, however, is an omnipresent topic in almost every single issue. The ‘Krone’ has a circulation of 820,000 copies for a readership of 2.9 million in a country of 8.4 million inhabitants (i.e. approximately one adult in two would probably read it on a daily basis). This makes it the most widely read newspaper in the world and explains its enormous influence in Austria. Otto Friedrich, an editor of the Catholic-oriented weekly *Die Furche*, has commented on the pro-law-and-order and, generally, xenophobic stance of the paper and its presentation of Islam. Whereas the topic of Islam is over-represented in this daily, the phenomenon of Islamophobia is rarely thematized.\(^{39}\)

The *Kronen Zeitung* and other media, of course, covered a climax in the diatribe against those regarded as ‘alien’ in Austria, which occurred in the night of 11/12 February 2009 — on the eve of the anniversary of the beginning of the Austrian Civil War of 1934. The walls of the national memorial in the former concentration camp Mauthausen were smeared with extreme right-wing graffiti, reading: ‘Was unseren Vätern der Jud, ist für uns die Moslembrut. Seid auf der Hut! 3. Weltkrieg — 8. Kreuzzug’ [What the Jew was for our fathers, the Muslim brood is for us. Be on guard! Third World War — Eighth Crusade]. Indignation on the part of the press and the political parties was prompt and, whilst it was clear that the action had been planned, was approved in certain right-wing circles, and was not the work of a single rogue perpetrator, the

\(^{38}\) David Art, *The Politics of the Nazi Past in Germany and Austria* (Cambridge, 2005), p. 43.

political leadership condemned the act of vandalism outright and distanced itself. Speaking on television, President Fischer deplored any form of anti-Semitism or xenophobia, but made no direct reference to Islamophobia or hostility to Islam, which might have been called for in the context. Although the sentiments expressed in the ‘writing on the wall’ in no way reflect the views of the vast majority of Austrians, who will find them repugnant, it should be noted here that the lineage between the rhetoric used in some election campaigns and the graffiti in Mauthausen with all that entails is a direct, not an indirect one.

The debates surrounding the religious communities in Austria during the noughties has shown that Islam has yet to be acknowledged as a constitutive element of society. As has been mentioned, Christianity still plays a leading role in the public sphere, both consciously and subconsciously influencing popular mentality. Judaism has obtained recognition and has returned to Austria, although nowhere near to the extent prevalent in the Monarchy, where Jews were simultaneously leading figures in cultural life and the victims of anti-Semitism. The Austrian writer and journalist Robert Misik, a well-known public figure in leftist circles, published a book entitled *Gott Behüte! [God Forbid!]*, answering the question as to why ‘religion should be kept out of politics’. Deliberately misquoting Marx, Misik refers to religion not as ‘opium of the people’, but as a stimulant, or ‘Aufputschmittel’.

In his view, religion is at the heart of many of the tensions manifest in society, even if it is not always apparent. Currently, religion in Austria is being instrumentalized for ulterior political motives, as if politicians, journalists and decision makers were not aware that society has largely become secular. As Charles Taylor puts it:

> Public spaces [...] have allegedly been emptied of God, or of any reference to ultimate reality. Or taken from another side, as we function within various spheres of activity — economic, political, cultural, educational, professional, recreational — the norms and principles we follow, the deliberations we engage in, generally don’t refer us to God or to any religious belief; the considerations we act on are internal to the ‘rationality’ of each sphere — maximum gain within the economy, the greatest benefit to the greatest number in the political area and so on. This is in striking contrast to earlier periods, when Christian faith laid down authoritative prescriptions, often through the mouths of the clergy.

By contrast, when the possible Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe was being worded, a heated discussion developed concerning whether there should be concrete reference in it to Christian values and to the concept of God. As Cvijic and Zucca explain, the result was a compromise, the preamble...

---

only containing a vague reference to Europe’s religious legacy. However, as the treaty has been abandoned, the issue as to whether Christian values should be included in a future European Constitution remains unresolved.

Currently, the accession of Turkey to the EU is being discussed, a touchy subject in the Austrian media. Against this backdrop, it is no longer the issue of Christian or Muslim values that needs to be addressed, but the fundamental relationship between church and state and whether the state should be purely secular in nature. One of the great achievements of the Enlightenment was the division between church and state. The controversies emerging in Austria during the noughties have suggested that the legacy of the Enlightenment, including the principles of pluralism, tolerance and humanism, is in jeopardy. Further studies of the noughties might provide a reminder that religion has its place in the private rather than the public sphere.